UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,390	03/30/2004	Peter E. Hand	359999.35	5402
David B. Abel DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP			EXAMINER	
			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A	
Fourth Floor 1999 Avenue of the Stars		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90067			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,390	HAND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>7/6/</u>	09.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/814,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/6/09 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al (US 6,363,164 B1) in view of Ramachandran et al (US 6,941,274 B1), and further in view of Partyka et al (US 5,941,363) and further in view of Morun (US 5,566,807) and still further in view of Deaville et al (US 5,791,449).

As described in Claim 8, Jones discloses an automated teller machine (ATM) as shown in figures 1b-d, that has a processor-based controller and coin receipt and return functions. Note that Jones' ATM vends bills and coins to customers. See Jones, figure 1a and col. 6, lines 22-46, which indicates that a customer's deposit may be returned in either coins, bills or both, and that controller (10) causes the dispensing unit (22) to

dispense funds to a user. Figure 1e, for example, illustrates dispenser (22), communications panel (26), image scanner (12), input receptacle (16), transport mechanism (18), and output receptacles (20a and b), all controlled by processor-based controller (10). Controller (10) also directs information from scanner (12), discriminator (14) to interface (24) which communicates further with remote accounting systems.

Jones also discloses a front-end processor (6038) in figures 1u and 1v. See col. 11, lines 35-64. Jones at col. 16, line 56-col. 17, line 30 discloses scanning a bill for various image features and comparing them with stored information. See also Jones at col. 20, line 26-col. 22, line 15, noting EPROM (934), illustrated in figure 4a, and CPU 930. See also Jones at col. 22, lines 30-56 and col. 26, lines 30-56. Jones at col. 27 line 56-col. 28 line 67 and col. 29 line 33-col. 30, line 26 describes microprocessor (212) storing obtained optical image and magnetic data from bills and comparing them with stored patterns stored for example in read only memory (232). Jones also discloses an escrow holding area in col. 77, lines 51-54.

Page 3

As described in Claims 9-11, Jones further discloses a display (2402) in the form of a touch screen with various currency denominations the machine control will allow to be processed displayed as keys (2406a-g). Note that said display is supported in a bezel assembly. Note that disposing the display either on or adjoining the runway surface is considered to be obvious variations of each other that one ordinarily skilled in the art would have found obvious to use in Jones apparatus. Jones discloses a display (2304) in a bezel with denomination keys (2306a-g) located on a lower area of the bezel that can be construed as a runway area in figures (49a and b) and discussed in col. 65,

Art Unit: 3653

line 52-col. 66, line 23. See also figure 50a or 57b as well as col. 66, lines 23-45, col. 72, line 60-col. 73, line 37, and col. 80, lines 49-59.

Jones does not expressly disclose, but Ramachandran discloses placing an ATM type device in a vending machine. See Ramachandran col. 2, line 45-col. 3, line 32 and col. 8, lines 23-42.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have embodied Jones' ATM in a combination ATM/vending machine for the purpose of dispensing snacks and goods as well as handles bank transactions.

The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been increase the range of services available to customers, thereby acting as a further draw to the vending machine, and therefore increasing profits, as suggested by Ramachandran's teaching and disclosure. See Ramachandran, col. 2, line 60-col. 3, line 10. Also, one ordinarily skilled in the art would have recognized the benefit of combining an ATM and vending machine because customers obtaining money at the ATM may be more willing to make impulsive purchases, thereby resulting in increased sales of vended goods as compared to a typical free-standing vending machine.

Further, regarding the use of a vending machine controller (VMC), Applicant's "Background to the Invention" section at paragraph 5, line 1-3 mentions that "vending machines are in wide use..." Paragraph 6 of the same section mentions that MDB/ICP communication protocol allows a bill validator to communicate with a vending machine controller (VMC). Since Ramachandran provides the teaching to retrofit an ATM bill validator in a vending machine, it would therefore have been obvious for one ordinarily

Art Unit: 3653

skilled in the art to have used such an MDB/ICP protocol to allow the various controllers and components of the vending system, including the bill and coin validators to communicate with the main controller.

Jones does not expressly disclose, but Partyka discloses that the note validator (14) controls a coin changer (12).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have controlled Jone's coin changer by input from Jones' note validator, and the note validator in turn by the VMC.

The suggestion/motivation would have been to "provide payout of coin change in response to the receiving of a proper bill." See Partyka, col. 2, lines 45-52.

Regarding the newly added claim language concerning "payment in a vending transaction", Jones does not expressly disclose, but Morun discloses that the note validator (340) and bill escrow and payout unit (115) and coin changer are operated to provide change from inserted bills or coins after a vending transaction has been processed. See figure 5, col. 1, lines 5-10, col. 3, lines 45-57, col. 4, lines 14-53, col. 4, lines 63-col. 5, lines 1-12, col. 5, lines 1-17 and col. 6, lines 17-35.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have controlled Jones' coin and bill changers by input from Jones' note validator, and the note validator in turn by the VMC, as taught by Morun, for the predictable purpose of providing change in coins or bills, as required by the particular transaction.

Art Unit: 3653

Jones does not expressly disclose, but Deaville discloses placing a coin acceptor/changer (50) and a bill acceptor/dispenser (60) disposed in the opening formerly receiving the bill validation device. Note that Deaville's coin acceptor and bill acceptor fits into the industry standard bill validator opening in the front panel of the vending machine. See Deaville, abstract, col. 1, line 50-col. 2, line 9 and figures 3a and 4a.

4. Claims 1-5, 7 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al in view of Ramachandran, further in view of Partyka et al (US 5,941,363), further in view of Morun (US 5,566,807), further in view of Deaville et al (US 5,791,449) and still further in view of Katou et al (US 2004/0182677 A1).

Jones, Ramachandran, Partyka, Morun and Deaville disclose the system described above.

Regarding Claims 12-17, see discussion regarding Claims 9-11 above.

Regarding Claims 2-4, Jones discloses that counterfeit detector (210) is controlled directly by microprocessor (212), which is considered analogous to Applicants' "unit controller", and has the "capability to maintain a running total of genuine documents" at col. 29, lines 15-20. Note Jones' ram (226) and rom (232) memory in figure 12. See also col. 30, lines 19-26 of Jones, which discusses programming microprocessor (212).

Regarding Claim 5, note again that Jones discloses displaying information on display (2402), for example. It would have been obvious to display such information as the number of notes dispensed and the number of coins dispensed, or any accounting

or other information that one ordinarily skilled in the art would have found necessary to manage and operate Jones' currency handlers.

Jones does not expressly disclose, but Katou discloses a vending machine in the form of automated teller machine (101), having a note acceptor-dispenser (1), a bill discriminator (30), a note box (60), a note hopper (40) that temporarily stores said notes, and a transportation unit (501, 502, 503, 504) in a combination such that said notes are transported to either a note box, a temporary storage or escrow box, or through the bill discriminator. See figures 6-13, 23-26, 30a-30c and 31. Also note the direction arrows of figures 6-13, 23-26, 30a-30c and 31.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added the transport mechanism disclosed and taught by Katou illustrated in Katou's figure 6, for example, to the transport mechanism of Jones such that said notes are transported to either a note box, a temporary storage or escrow box, or through the bill discriminator as well as from input to output receptacles.

The suggestion/motivation for adding Katou's transport mechanism to Jones' would have been to prevent jamming of notes. See Katou, paragraphs 10 and 18. Further, it would have been obvious to use closed note boxes or cassettes or magazines to receive notes rather than open receptacles as Jones discloses so as to promote automation of the note handling process.

Regarding Claims 19 and 20, which require the "vending machine protocol program to accept notes only up to a first value...and a processor controlling the acceptance and recognition of notes up to a second value exceeding said first value",

note that it would have been obvious to upgrade a vending machine, which accepts coins or bills up to a one value, and increase the capability of the vending machine to accept bills of a higher value by installing a bill validator having a processor controller that allows bills of a second, higher maximum value, since prices of items can be expected to rise over time, thus requiring larger denominations to be transacted during a vend.

5. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al in view of Ramachandran, further in view of Partyka, further in view of Morun, further in view of Deaville et al (US 5,791,449), further in view of Katou et al (US 2004/0182677 A1) and still further in view of Pope (US 2002/0195309).

Jones, Ramachandran, Partyka, Morun, Deaville and Katou disclose the system described above.

Regarding Claims 19 and 20, Jones does not expressly disclose, but Pope discloses the particulars of retrofitting a validator that accepts currency at a second value which is higher than the value of a maximum first denomination value accepted/handled by the vending machine controller (VMC). See Pope at paragraphs 1-10.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added the transport mechanism disclosed and taught by Katou illustrated in Katou's figure 6, for example, to the transport mechanism of Jones such that said notes are transported to either a note box, a temporary storage or escrow box, or through the bill discriminator as well as from input to output receptacles.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,390 Page 9

Art Unit: 3653

The suggestion/motivation for adding Katou's transport mechanism to Jones' would have been to prevent jamming of notes. See Katou, paragraphs 10 and 18. Further, it would have been obvious to use closed note boxes or cassettes or magazines to receive notes rather than open receptacles as Jones discloses so as to promote automation of the note handling process.

Regarding Claims 19 and 20, which require the "vending machine protocol program to accept notes only up to a first value...and a processor controlling the acceptance and recognition of notes up to a second value exceeding said first value", note that it would have been obvious to upgrade a vending machine, which accepts coins or bills up to a one value, and increase the capability of the vending machine to accept bills of a higher value by installing a bill validator having a processor controller that allows bills of a second, higher maximum value, since prices of items can be expected to rise over time, thus requiring larger denominations to be transacted during a vend.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-5, 7-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO whose telephone number is (571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,390 Page 10

Art Unit: 3653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey A. Shapiro/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3653

September 16, 2009